For the Northern District of California

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	RAUL ESCARCEGA, No. C 06-3693 SI (pr)
9	Petitioner, ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
10	V. V.
11	BEN CURRY, warden,
12	Respondent.
13	
14	Petitioner has filed a notice of appeal from the order denying his petition for writ of
15	habeas corpus that challenged a parole denial decision. The court earlier dismissed his request
16	for a certificate of appealability as unnecessary under then-controlling Ninth Circuit authority.
17	Later, the law changed. Under the recent decision of <u>Hayward v. Marshall</u> , 603 F.3d 546, 554
18	(9th Cir. 2010), a petitioner must obtain a certificate of appealability to appeal such a decision.
19	The order dismissing the request for a certificate of appealability as unnecessary (docket # 19)
20	is VACATED and replaced with this order.

A certificate of appealability will not issue because petitioner has not made "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). This is not a case in which "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 16, 2010

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge